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The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{TiZr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, contains three methyliminodiethanolate ligands, two in different $\mu$-oxo bridging coordination modes and one bound only to the Ti atom. The Ti and Zr atoms have distorted octahedral and pentagonal-bipyramidal coordinations, respectively, which share edges. As well as some conformational disorder in the carbon chains, there is chemical disorder at one Ti site, with a mix of $n$ - and isopropanolate ligands.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared as part of a study of possible precursor compounds for zirconium titanates and lead zirconate titanate (PZT). An X-ray analysis was required as the chemical structure could not be unambiguously determined by other techniques (Kemmitt, Al-Salim \& Gainsford, 2002).

(I)

The crystal structure of (I) (Fig. 1) consists of independent $\left[\operatorname{TiZr}(\mathrm{MDEA})_{3}(n \text {-propanolato })_{1.6}(\text { isopropanolato })_{0.4}\right] \quad \mathrm{mol}-$ ecules (MDEA is methyliminodiethanolate) with only weak intermolecular contacts, e.g. $\mathrm{C} 33 A-\mathrm{H} 332 \cdots \mathrm{O} 22^{\mathrm{i}}$, with a C $\cdots$ O distance of 3.476 (9) A [symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y$, $\frac{1}{2}-z$ ]. Within the complex, there is one weak intramolecular $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 22$ hydrogen-bond contact, with a $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of 3.280 (5) $\AA$ and a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle of $148^{\circ}$. For
clarity, Fig. 1 shows only the major conformation rings (suffix $A$ ) and the major ( $60 \%$ occupancy) $n$-propanolate ligand bound to the Ti atom via the O 41 atom.

The Ti atom is in a typical distorted octahedral coordination environment, while the Zr is seven-coordinate, highly distorted from bipyramidal-pentagonal symmetry. The $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Zr}$ distance of 3.459 (1) $\AA$ is considerably longer than the values of 3.413 and 3.4117 (12) $\AA$ found in the oxo-bridged titanium and zirconium pinacolate complexes reported by Zechmann et al. (1998) [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen \& Kennard, 1993) refcodes JARSUQ and JARWEE]. The latter are the only two oxo-bridged Ti $\cdots \mathrm{Zr}$ complexes in the CSD (ConQuest; Cambridge Structural Database, 2002).

Both of the MDEA ligands bound to the Zr atom in (I) have one O atom bridging the two metal centres. One adopts a facial conformation, as previously observed by Kemmitt, Al-Salim \& Gainsford (1999), while the other is meridionally bound [see examples in Sevast'yanov et al. (2001)]. The latter MDEA ligand, with its flattened conformation, has a $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of 2.397 (3) $\AA$, which is shorter than the usual facial (twist) conformation length ( $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 21$ ) of 2.443 (3) $\AA$. A comparable twist $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of $2.427 \AA$ is found in the complex $\quad\{N, N$-bis[2-(mesitylamido)ethyl]methylamine\}zirconium (Schrock et al., 2000).
The $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in (I) are similar to those found in JARSUQ and JARWEE, which have distances to the $\mu$-O atom of 2.135 (3), 2.178 (4), 2.174 (5) and 2.164 (5) $\AA$, compared with values of 2.182 (2) and 2.222 (2) $\AA$ in (I). The $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ lengths of the bound isopropanolate ligand in JARSUQ and JARWEE [mean 1.791 (5) $\AA$ ] are identical to that for the $n$-propanolate ligand in (I) $[1.786$ (3) $\AA$ ]. This suggests that the mixture of iso- and $n$-propanolate ligands bound to the Ti atom through oxygen (site O41) found by model trials is, structurally speaking, a reasonable possibility (see Experimental).

The third MDEA ligand is only bound to the Ti atom, adopting a facial conformation with a $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of


Figure 1
A view of the molecular structure of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level. H atoms, minor conformers and the isopropanolate ligand at O41 (see text) have been omitted for clarity.
2.346 (3) Å, slightly shorter than the values of 2.380 (3) and 2.401 (2) $\AA$ found in $\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{3}(\mathrm{MDEA})_{2} \text { (1,3-propanolate) }\right)_{4}$ (hereinafter KEMM1; Kemmitt, Gainsford \& Robson-Marsden, 2002), and the maxiumum of 2.422 (2) $\AA$ observed in [Ti(MDEA) $)_{2}$ (Kemmitt, Al-Salim et al., 1999). The folding in the MDEA is normal, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ dihedral angles of 44.9 (5) and 37.0 (4) (for the $A$ conformations), compared with means of 43.8 and $30.7^{\circ}$ in KEMM1.

All the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ (MDEA) bond lengths in (I) are similar to those found previously. The mean $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ (MDEA) in (I) is 1.883 (3) $\AA$, which is comparable with the range of $1.848-$ $1.878 \AA$ found in KEMM1, and the usually longer bridging bonds ( 2.014 and $2.067 \AA$ ) are comparable with mean values observed in related compounds of 2.107 (Kemmitt, Al-Salim \& Gainsford, 1999) and $2.103 \AA$ A (Sevast'yanov et al., 2001).

Atoms O11, O12, O21 and O31 are planar, with an average deviation of 0.024 (3) $\AA$, and the Ti atom is 0.279 (1) $\AA$ from the plane. This plane makes an angle of $10.3(1)^{\circ}$ with the plane through the four approximately planar atoms O21, O31, O32 and N31 (average deviation out of the plane $0.08 \AA$ ) bound to Zr ; atoms Zr and N 21 are 0.022 (2) and 0.446 (5) $\AA$, respectively, from this plane.

## Experimental

Titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $5.97 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and zirconium tetra-npropoxide ( $9.83 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to $N$-methyldiethoxoamine $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene at room temperature. The mixture was stirred under an inert atmosphere at 323 K for 1 h , and the solvent was then evaporated at $323-343 \mathrm{~K}$ under vacuum to give a viscous material. This was dissolved in anhydrous benzene ( 2 ml ), from which approximately cubic colourless crystals of (I) separated out (ca $30 \%$ yield).

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{TiZr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=608.74$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
$a=31.172$ (10) $\AA$
$b=10.407$ (3) $\AA$
$c=19.116$ (6) $\AA$
$\beta=116.002(3)^{\circ}$
$V=5574(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.686, T_{\text {max }}=0.837$
19935 measured reflections
$D_{x}=1.451 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 5093
$\quad$ reflections
$\theta=2.6-25.8^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.71 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=163(2) \mathrm{K}$
Cubic block, colourless
$0.30 \times 0.27 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.043$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.104$
$S=0.95$
5613 reflections
272 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 51$ | $1.977(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 41$ | $1.786(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 22$ | $2.046(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 12$ | $1.877(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 32$ | $2.068(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 11$ | $1.887(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 31$ | $2.182(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 21$ | $2.014(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 21$ | $2.222(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 31$ | $2.067(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 31$ | $2.397(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N} 11$ | $2.346(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 21$ | $2.443(3)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 51-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 31$ | $98.93(10)$ | $\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 31$ | $136.14(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 32-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 31$ | $143.18(9)$ | $\mathrm{O} 31-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 21$ | $135.17(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 22-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O} 21$ | $88.84(9)$ | $\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 21$ | $71.94(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 32-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 31$ | $72.55(10)$ | $\mathrm{O} 12-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N} 11$ | $76.37(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 31-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N} 31$ | $71.05(9)$ | $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N} 11$ | $76.51(11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{Zr}$ | $-57.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 31-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{Zr}$ | $-16.06(10)$ |

The positions of the H atoms on atoms C31 and C34 were refined. Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98-$ 0.99 Å. Subsequently, all H atoms were constrained, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of their parent atom. There was conformational disorder in one methyliminodiethanolate ligand and in the $n$-propanolate ligand bound to Zr . Chemical disorder (iso/ $n$-propanolate) was modelled for the ligand bound to Ti; full details are given in the archived CIF. No H atoms were included for the poorly ordered iso-propanolate C atoms.

Data collection and cell refinement: SMART (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT (Siemens, 1996) and SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996); structure solution: SHELXS 97 (Sheldrick, 1997); structure refinement: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 in WinGX (Farrugia, 1997, 1999).
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TA1389). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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